Steady-state Two-phase Flow in Porous Media: Statistics and Transport Properties
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We study experimentally the case of steady-state simultaneous two-phase flow in a quasi two-dimensional porous medium. The dynamics is dominated by the interplay between a viscous pressure field from the wetting fluid and bubble transport of a less viscous, nonwetting phase. In contrast to more studied displacement front systems, steady-state flow is in equilibrium, statistically speaking. The corresponding theoretical simplicity allows us to explain a data collapse in the cluster size distribution as well as the relation $|\nabla P| \propto \sqrt{Ca}$ between the pressure gradient in the system and the capillary number.

PACS numbers: 47.56.+r,47.55.dd,47.55.Ca,89.75.Fb

Different types of immiscible multi-phase fluid flow in porous media play an important role in many natural and commercial processes [1–3]. The complex fluid patterns observed in such processes have been extensively studied and modeled over the last decades, see [1–5] and references therein.

The vast majority of work up to now has focused on invasion processes; either pure drainage or pure imbibition. These inherently transient processes give different displacement patterns and are classified into capillary fingering [6], viscous fingering [5, 7–12], and stable front displacement [13, 14]. These are non-stationary processes, and to understand them in a broader context there is a need to understand the stationary case which has received far less attention: steady-state flow, which is in equilibrium in the sense that average flow properties and distribution functions are invariant in time. This stationary system is in statistical equilibrium although it is a dissipative process; an external energy input balances the internal energy loss to maintain the equilibrium.

With some notable exceptions there is to our knowledge very little pore scale experimental data available for such problems [15]. The Payatakes group did pore scale steady-state experiments using network models etched in glass [16], and later theoretical modeling predicting the non-linearity of such flows [17]. In addition some numerical work has focused on a steady-state regime: a pore scale Lattice-Boltzmann study by Rothman et al. [18] and network simulations at larger scales by Knudsen et al. and Ramstad et al. [19–22].

In this Letter we experimentally demonstrate that an equilibrium flow situation results after simultaneous injection of two fluids into a porous medium. This allows for the combination of mean-field approximations of local quantities and energy dissipation considerations. As a result we analytically obtain the highly non-trivial steady-state pressure-flowrate relationship. Furthermore, in contrast to transient flows, steady-state mass conservation gives a normalization condition based on flowrate rather than saturation. From this we derive a scaling law of the cluster size distributions of nonwetting fluid.
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**FIG. 1:** Depicted inside the model frame ($L \times W = 85 \times 42$ cm$^2$) is the initial transient stage of an experiment. There are 15 independent inlet holes with tubes and syringes attached. Every second syringe injects the wetting phase, the others inject the nonwetting one. An outlet channel with four exit holes allows the fluid mix to leave the system. Three SensorTechnology 26PC0100G66 flow-through pressure sensors (indicated by the solid rectangles) are attached alongside the model at the positions $y = 0, L/2, L$. Additionally one pressure sensor is attached to the first ”air”-tube from the bottom.

Our system is shown in Fig. 1. The horizontal porous model consists of a mono-layer of glass beads of diameter $a = 1$ mm, which are randomly spread between two transparent contact papers [7, 13]. The model dimensions are $L \times W = 85 \times 42$ cm$^2$, with thickness $a$ and volume $V = aLW$. The porosity and absolute permeability are measured to be $\phi = 0.63$ and $\kappa_0 = (1.95 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$cm$^2$ respectively. The wetting fluid used is a 85% – 15%
by weight glycerol-water solution dyed with 0.1% Negrosine, and has a viscosity \( \mu_w = 0.11 \) Pa·s at room temperature. Air is used as the nonwetting fluid with viscosity \( \mu_{nw} = 1.9 \times 10^{-5} \) Pa·s, giving a viscosity ratio \( \frac{M}{\mu_w} / \mu_{nw} \sim 10^{-4} \). The surface tension is measured to be \( \gamma = 6.4 \times 10^{-2} \) N·m\(^{-1}\).

The tuning parameter in the experiments is the total flow-rate, i.e. the sum of the flow-rate of the wetting and nonwetting fluid, and can during steady-state be written as \( Q_{tot} = Q_w + Q_{nw} = (8 + 7)Q_0 \), where \( Q_0 \) is the flow-rate from every single syringe.

Gray scale images of the flow structure are taken at regular intervals with a PixeLink Industrial Vision PL-A781 digital camera. An image contains \( 3000 \times 2208 \) pixels, corresponding to a spatial resolution of \( \sim 0.19 \) mm per pixel (27 pixels in a pore of size \( 1 \) mm\(^2\)). All analysis is done on the basis of black and white thresholded images [14], and the measured pressure signals.

The porous model is initially saturated with the wetting phase. An experiment is started by injecting the fluid pair from every other inlet hole. The initial structure consists of bubbles or clusters of air distributed over various sizes, but always much smaller than the system size. The clusters are embedded in a background field of percolating wetting fluid. Usually the smallest air clusters are immobile and trapped, whereas larger clusters are mobile and propagate in the porous medium. However, trapped clusters can be mobilized when they coalesce with larger migrating clusters and migrating clusters can be fragmented and thereby trapped.

We divide an experiment into two regimes. A transient regime where the mix of nonwetting clusters and wetting fluid gradually fills up the model, as seen in Fig. 1. During this time the measured average pressure difference between \( y = 0 \) and \( y = L \), \( \Delta P_L \), increases. This is due to the presence of more and more air clusters trapped in the system, effectively lowering the relative permeability for the viscous wetting fluid. At some characteristic time, shortly after both phases are produced at the outlet, \( \Delta P_L \) starts to fluctuate around a constant value. This marks the start of the steady-state (or statistically stationary) regime. The whole model now contains a homogeneous mix of the two phases, transported through the model without “long time” flow parameter changes.

Through six experiments we have studied how the measured steady-state pressure difference \( \Delta P_L \) varies with the Capillary number \( Ca \), defined as

\[
Ca = \frac{\mu_w Q_w a^2}{\gamma \rho_0 A},
\]

where \( A = Wa \) is the cross-sectional area. This is shown in Fig. 2, for a span in the \( Ca \)-number of roughly two decades. It is evident that the pressure is consistent with a power law in the \( Ca \)-number, \( \Delta P_L \propto Ca^\beta \), where the exponent is found to be \( \beta = 0.54 \pm 0.08 \). This is a non-trivial result, and we will return to the discussion shortly.

A general trend in the experiments, in passing from high to low \( Ca \)-numbers, is that the size or area of the largest air clusters increases. This means that the geometry of the clusters depends on the steady-state pressure gradient. To quantify this, we have found the normalized probability distributions of cluster extension in the \( x \)- and \( y \)-direction, \( P(l_x) \) and \( P(l_y) \) respectively (see Fig. 3). We define the extension lengths \( l_x \) and \( l_y \) as the sides of the smallest rectangle (bounding box) that can contain a cluster. For clarity, \( l_y \) lays parallel to the average flow direction, whereas \( l_x \) lays transverse to the average flow direction.

Analysis shows that for a cluster of a given area \( s \), the extension lengths have well defined means \( \langle l_x \rangle \) and \( \langle l_y \rangle \) increasing monotonically with \( s \) [23]. The corresponding standard deviations are small and proportional to these means (relatively 20%) for all \( \langle l_x \rangle \) and \( \langle l_y \rangle \) values [23]. Furthermore we find that \( \langle l_x \rangle = \langle l_y \rangle \) up to a characteristic length scale in the system \( l^* \). Above \( l^* \), \( \langle l_y \rangle > \langle l_x \rangle \), as shown in the upper right inset of Fig. 3. The exact same behaviour is seen in the distributions \( P(l_x) \) and \( P(l_y) \). Fig. 3 shows, for the same \( Ca \)-numbers used previously, a collapse of the \( P(l_x) \) and \( P(l_y) \) distributions by the rescaling \( l^* \phi P(l_x) \) vs. \( l_x / l^* \). The scaling exponent \( \phi = 2.8 \pm 0.3 \) is taken as the value that gives the best collapse. Apart from the expected crossover when the extension lengths reaches the pore length scale, \( a \), the collapse is very good. The above results reveal important information of our system; particularly that there is only one length scale \( l^* \), dependent on the pressure difference \( \Delta P_L \), that controls the steady-state displacement structure.

In the following we shall give a simple and minimal scaling theory for the purpose of predicting the exponents \( \beta \) and \( \phi \) from Fig. 2 and 3 respectively.

Consider a nonwetting cluster in the porous medium surrounded by flowing viscous wetting fluid. The cluster
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we denote \( \bar{l} \) will depend on the difference between the mean thresholding side of the perimeter is sufficient for a migration step due to its low viscosity, whereas the wetting fluid pressure is assumed constant inside the cluster. The nonwetting and wetting fluid on each side. The nonwetting phase is fluctuating around a constant value in steady-state, changes in the potential energy of mobilized clusters is \( \Delta \bar{P} = \Delta \langle l_y \rangle \) vs. \( \langle l_x \rangle / l^* \), where \( \Delta l = \langle l_y \rangle - \langle l_x \rangle \).

If all menisci along the perimeter are in mechanical equilibrium, the cluster is immobile. This is typically the case for clusters with only a small \( l_y \) extension. However, for large enough \( l_y \), the viscous pressure drop on the wetting side of the perimeter is sufficient for a migration step to take place. Migration is the process of drainage in one pore and imbibition in another along the perimeter. The result of several migration steps is that the cluster moves, and perhaps also changes shape. The onset of migration will depend on the difference between the mean thresholding pressure for drainage and imbibition [24]: a pressure we denote \( \bar{P} \). Furthermore, \( \bar{P} \) predicts a characteristic length of extension \( l^* \) for cluster mobility

\[
|\nabla P| l^* = \Delta \bar{P} \frac{l^*}{\kappa} = \bar{P} \, ,
\]

where we make the mean field assumption that the pressure gradient \( |\nabla P| \) is constant. The scaling of the last equality in Eq. (2) is verified experimentally, as shows the lower left inset in Fig. 3. Note that \( l^* \) also determines when clusters become unstable against breakup, since the mechanism of cluster mobilization is the same as that of cluster fragmentation. This is important because it links the single crossover length that collapses both \( P(l_i) \) distributions in Fig. 3 to the extension of mobilized clusters. Particularly, it means that the characteristic \( l_i \)-extension of mobilized clusters is \( l^* \).

Equilibrium conditions require the total dissipation in the system to be balanced by the work rate done through the external pressure drop: \( Q_{tot} \Delta P_L = D_f \). In obtaining \( D_f \), we assume that the main contribution to dissipation is in the volume of the wetting fluid, and that the dissipation in the nonwetting fluid is negligible. Visual observation indicates that most of the wetting fluid is restricted to flow through narrow channels at some typical spacing. The motion and configuration of the nonwetting clusters seem to show that the channel width is of the order of a pore size \( \sim a \), and that the permeability in between channels is made relatively low by the presence of lowly mobile nonwetting clusters. Motivated by these observations we define a dissipative wetting fluid volume.

\[
V_{dis} = L A_{dis} = L a^2 \frac{W}{l^*} = \frac{aV}{l^*} \, ,
\]

where \( l^* \) is taken as the spacing between channels, making \( W/l^* \) the number of channels through the system. This simplification of channel flow of the wetting fluid is a strong assumption but supporting numerical simulations also show that the dissipative volume is constrained to a small fraction of the total volume, changing with the flowrate.

Since the overall interface area between the wetting and nonwetting phase is fluctuating around a constant value in steady-state, changes in the potential energy stored in the interfaces do not contribute to the average dissipation, and we are justified in writing

\[
Q_{tot} \Delta P_L = D_f = - \int_{V_{dis}} dV u |\nabla P| = \frac{\mu_w}{\kappa_0} \int_{V_{dis}} dV u^2 \, ,
\]

where we have applied Darcy’s law locally, in the dissipative part of the wetting fluid. Taking the local Darcy velocity \( u = (Q_w/A)(V/V_{dis}) \) as a constant, and using Eqs. (3), (1) and (2) respectively we obtain

\[
\Delta P_L = \frac{8\gamma V}{15a^3} A \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\nabla P|^2 = \frac{8\gamma P_f}{15a^3} C_0 \quad ,
\]

i.e. \( |\nabla P| \propto \sqrt{Ca} \), consistent with the exponent \( \beta \) in Fig. 2. An alternative interpretation of this result is that the wetting fluid experiences an effective permeability, assuming a Darcy law \( \kappa_{eff}(Ca) = \mu_w Q_w/A |\nabla P| \), due to the flow of air:

\[
\kappa_{eff}^2 = \frac{15\gamma}{8\kappa_0} C_0 \kappa_0 \, .
\]
We turn now to the distributions of cluster extension lengths, and the found exponent $\phi$. From the collapses in Fig. 3 it is seen that the distributions can be written

$$P_i(l) = l^{-\phi} h_i(l/l^*) ,$$

where the cutoff functions $h_i(x)$ are dominating. Note that this scaling form should only be expected to hold for $l$ above the lower cutoff scale $\sim a$. To obtain $\phi$ we use the fact that the nonwetting flowrate is an imposed quantity, and must in steady-state be equal to the accumulated flow of all mobile clusters on the average. The contribution of a single cluster of extent $l$ to the total nonwetting flowrate is $a dU(l)$, where $U(l)$ is the average centre of mass velocity. Further, the average number of clusters of extension $(l, l + dl)$ that intersects any given cross section $A$, is given as $dP_i(l) N/l$. Hence we can write

$$Q_{nw} = \frac{a N}{L} \int_0^\infty dl dU(l) P_i(l) ,$$

where $N$ is the total number of clusters. This number is measured and found to depend only weakly, at most logarithmic, on $Q_{nw}$. For simplicity, $N$ will be treated as a constant in the following.

To obtain $U(l)$ we make the general assumption that it is linear in $Q_{nw}$ and has some functional dependence on $l/l^*$

$$U(l) = \frac{Q_{nw}}{A} f(l/l^*) .$$

As a first order approximation $f(x)$ would be a step function, since clusters of size $l < l^*$ usually are immobile. By Eqs. (7) and (9) and the substitution $x = l/l^*$, Eq. (8) can be written

$$1 = l^{(3-\phi)} \frac{a N}{V} \int_{l/l^*}^\infty dx x^{2-\phi} f(x) h_i(x) .$$

Since the right hand side of Eq. (10) must be independent of $l^*$, we obtain $\phi = 3$ consistent with the experimental value in Fig. 3.

In conclusion, experiments have been done on two-phase flow in a porous medium under steady-state conditions. In contrast to invasion processes and other inherently transient phenomena of two-phase flow, steady-state is in a statistical sense an equilibrium situation. Whereas the description of transient behaviour is a whole range of separate loosely attached cases, depending on flow parameters, the description and formalism for steady-state should be more integrated and universal. Our work explores a part of its parameter space and we find a robust power law behaviour: pressure increases as $\Delta P_L \propto C a^{0.5}$, alternatively for permeability $\kappa_{eff} \propto C a^{0.5}$.

The power law is valid over roughly two decades, but there should be cutoffs for large and small flow rates.

For high flow rate, the cutoff cluster size will approach the pore size, and from that point the permeability must reach a plateau. The same is the case for flow rates low enough that the largest clusters are limited by the system size. These limits were not realized experimentally, but in numerical work these cutoffs have been seen [20, 22].

The scaling behaviour of the system was explained by theoretical arguments, relying on a high viscosity ratio. Numerical work with a lower viscosity ratio (steady-state but somewhat different boundary conditions) indicates a lower exponent: $\Delta P_L \propto C a^{\beta'}$, where $\beta' < 0.5$ [20]. We conjecture that the presented theory is a limiting case, thus suitable as a starting point for further theoretical developments, aiming at incorporating the more complex case where the two fluids have more similar viscosities.
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