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## Analog model for biphasic flow in porous medium

Hele-Shaw cell: two parallel plates, cell with rectangular section, Stokes flow.


Saffman and Taylor, 1958, Proc. Roy Soc. London A.

The penetration of a fluid into a porous medium or Hele-Shaw cell containing a more viscous liquid

By P. G. Saffman and Sir Geoffrey Taylor, F.R.S.
Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge
(Received 17 January 1958—Read 17 April 1958)

## Saffman Taylor instability

Fluid displacing a more viscous fluid:


Involved forces:
viscous forces $v=-(\kappa / \mu) \nabla P$, capillary forces $\delta P_{c}=-\gamma / r$.

## Saffman Taylor solutions

Family of curves satisfying Darcy law $v=-(\kappa / \mu) \nabla P$, with neglected surface tension along boundary.


Figure 7. Calculated profiles for $\lambda=0.2,0.5$ and 0.8 .

## Width selection

fraction $\lambda$ of system size invaded, selected by surface tension, no matter how small.


At large speed: $\lambda=0.5$

## Influence of pore-scale disorder

- Porous medium:

- Wettability:

- Drainage: the non-wetting fluid displaces the wetting fluid.
- Capillary pressure: $\quad P_{c}=\frac{2 \gamma}{r} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad$ larger pores are more easily invaded



## Experimental setup: a model of 2D random porous medium



- Mono-layer of $a=1 \mathrm{~mm}$ glass beads "sandwiched" between two horizontal plates
- air displacing glycerol/water
- Three model widths to check size dependencies ( $\mathrm{L} \times \mathrm{W}=840 \mathrm{~mm} \times 430 \mathrm{~mm}$ or $840 \mathrm{~mm} \times 215 \mathrm{~mm}$ or $840 \mathrm{~mm} \times 110 \mathrm{~mm}$ )
- Pictures of the structure taken at regular intervals


## Invasion structures

- We see a continuous transition from capillary fingering to viscous fingering, as function of $\mathrm{Ca}=\frac{\mu v a^{2}}{\gamma \kappa}$...

$\mathrm{Ca} \simeq 0.015$

$\mathrm{Ca} \simeq 0.060$

$\mathrm{Ca} \simeq 0.20$


## Mass fractal dimension: Box counting

 Collapse with scaled size $s \cdot C a$

- $\mathrm{D}=1.8$ corresponds to capillary fingering, or invasion percolation.
- $\mathrm{D}=1.5$ is smaller than the Diffusion Limited Aggregation result, $\mathrm{D}=1.71$. In the absence of granular material at high injection speed in Hele-Shaw cells, only a large scale exponent $\mathrm{D}=1.70$ is found in experiments (Moore et al., Phys. Rev. E, 2002)


## Average geometry

underlying statistically stationary process: in the reference frame of most advanced tip, analysis of invader's occupancy probability


## Selected width

Occupancy function thresholded at half-maximum: selected width: $\lambda=0.4$


## Conclusion

Presence of disorder in pore structure modifys the large scale structure: characteristic width of most occupied zone,
large scale fractal dimension.
Can be explained by probabilistic approach of the invasion process.

## Perspectives

Numerical and experimental (transparent models) study of the impact of the probability distribution of the pore sizes on the large scale flow.

Extension to biphasic flow in nonsaturated situations.

## The speed of the fingertip

- For each capillary number Ca the speed of the most advances fingertip could be treated as constant



## Average mass of invasion cluster

- Since $\phi(z)$ indep of $C a, v_{t i p} \sim$ constant, the mass of the invasion cluster could be scaled with $n_{\mathrm{Ca}}=\frac{W \gamma \kappa}{\mu_{w} a^{4}} \frac{\mathrm{Ca}}{v_{\text {tip }}}$
- And the scaled mass is also equal to the cumulative invasion probability distribution $n(z) / n_{\mathrm{Ca}}=\Phi(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \phi(y) d y$


## Wide model



Narrow model


## Ca dependence in $m_{\infty}$ and $v_{\text {tip }}$

- The saturation mass and the speed of the fingertip is capillary number dependent

Saturation mass


Fingertip speed


## Transition scale from capillary to viscous fingering: $w_{f}$

- The characteristic finger width $w_{f}$ is measured as the average intersection length of cuts perpendicular to the flow direction with trapped clusters removed
- $w_{f}$ is the cutoff scale between capillary and viscous fingering, characteristic of maximum loop size



## Transition scale $w_{f}$ depending on $C a$

$w_{f} \cdot \nabla P \sim \Delta P_{c}$ width of threshold distribution. Along a given boundary, $\nabla P \propto \nabla P(-\infty) \propto C a$ Thus, $w_{f} \sim 1 / C a$ Large $C a$, minimum loop size
$\sim$ few pore size $a$, and only Large $C a$, minimum loop size
$\sim$ few pore size $a$, and only branched structure. Small $C a$, whole structure $=$ gigantic loop (lowly branched), and $w_{f}$ saturates around the lateral structure extent, $\sim \lambda W$.


## Mass fractal dimension: Box counting



- $\mathrm{D}=1.8$ corresponds to capillary fingering, or invasion percolation.
- $D=1.5$ is smaller than the Diffusion Limited Aggregation result, $\mathrm{D}=1.71$. In the absence of granular material at high injection speed in Hele-Shaw cells, only a large scale exponent $D=1.70$ is found in experiments (Moore et al., Phys. Rev. E, 2002)

Collapse, scaled size $s \cdot C a$


Average collapsed


Large scale: width selection $\lambda=0.4$
Definition of average density map over exps and time

arerage oper time ard 5 experiments.

$$
C a=0.060
$$

## Large scale: width selection $\lambda=0.4$

Average density map over experiments and time


Large scale: width selection $\lambda=0.4$
Contour at half-maximum average density


$$
C a=0.058
$$

Large scale: width selection $\lambda=0.4$
Comparison with Saffman-Taylor finger generic shape


Some similarities with Saffman-Taylor finger shape, selected width

$$
0.35<\lambda<0.45
$$

(conjecture of Arneodo et al, 1996: for DLA, average half-density profile = SF finger, $\lambda=0.62$ Somfai et al 2003: confirmation for selected width, difference in the detailed head shape.

Similar conclusion for present experiment, selected width: $0.35<\lambda<0.45$

Large scale: width selection $\lambda=0.4$

Result independent of capillary number

average over time and 5 experiments.

## Large scale: width selection $\lambda=0.4$

Average density map over experiments and time

$$
C a=0.22
$$

Large scale: width selection $\lambda=0.4$
Contour at half-maximum average density

$C a=0.22$

## Large scale: width selection $\lambda=0.4$

Comparison with Saffman-Taylor finger generic shape


$$
C a=0.22
$$

$w_{f}$ depends on $C a$, not the width selected $0.35<\lambda<0.45$ as long as $C a>0.03$

## Normalized density along transverse-to-flow direction

$\rho(x) \propto n(x)$, normalized density (direction perp. to previous plots), cumulated on all $z$ at $W$ or more from tip or inlet, at $C a=0.058$ and 0.22 :


## Relationship between Saffman-Taylor $\lambda=0.4$, and poroviscous flow through lateral pressure field

Comparison of the pressure scaled with $C a$, measured in experiments at three positions ( $17 \%$ of lateral extent from side boundary), and calculated in Saffman-Taylor problem with finger of width $\lambda=0.4$.


## $v_{\text {tip }}$ revisited and $w_{f}$

- The characteristic finger width $w_{f}$ is measured as the average intersection length of cuts perpendicular to the flow direction with trapped clusters removed
- $w_{f}$ is the cutoff scale between capillary and viscous fingering

Finger tip speed $v_{\text {tip }}$


Finger width $w_{f}$


## Selection of $\lambda$ and $D=1.5$

Laplace problem: $\nabla^{2} P=0$
Boundary condition ahead: $\nabla P \propto C a$


Boundary condition along the invasion cluster: influence of the capillary threshold lower cutoff Laplacian Growth: $v \propto \nabla P$

DLA: Growth proba $\propto \nabla P$
$\eta$-DBM: Growth proba $\propto(\nabla P)^{2}$

Here, interface speed along a given cluster, averaged over possible values of the next throat threshold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, z)=\frac{2 \kappa}{\mu} \frac{\left(P_{0}-P(x, z)-P_{t}(x, z)\right)}{a} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N\left(P_{t}(x, z)\right)$ capillary pressure distribution. Assume a flat capillary pressure distribution with lower limit $P_{t}^{\min }$, upper limit $P_{t}^{\max }$ and width $W_{c}$. Expectational value of the interface velocity (average value over the capillary threshold distribution)

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle v(x, z)\rangle= & \int_{P_{t}^{\min }}^{P_{0}-P(x, z)} \frac{2 \kappa}{a \mu}\left(P_{0}-P(x, z)-P_{t}(x, z)\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \cdot H e\left(P_{0}-P(x, z)-P_{t}(x, z)\right) d P_{t} / W_{c}  \tag{3}\\
& =\frac{\kappa}{a \mu W_{c}}\left(P_{0}-P(x, z)-P_{t}^{\min }\right)^{2} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogy to Dielectric Breakdown Model, $\eta=2$.

| Model | Fractal dimension | Width selected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DLA, DBM $\eta=1$ | $\mathrm{D}=1.71$ | $\lambda=0.62$ |
|  | (Somfai 2003) | (Somfai 2003, Arneodo 1996) |
| viscous fingering | $\mathrm{D}=2, \mathrm{D}=1.70$ | $\lambda=0.50-0.60$ |
| (empty Hele Shaw) | (slow, ST)(fast) | (Moore-Swinney2003) |
| DBM $\eta=2$ | $\mathrm{D}=1.4-1.5$ | $\lambda<0.5$ |
|  | (Pietronero, Mathiesen 2003) | (Somfai 2003) |
| viscous fingering | $\mathrm{D}=1.5-1.6$ | $\lambda=0.40$ |
| in porous medium |  |  |

