High resolution 3D laser scanner measurements of a strike-slip fault quantify its morphological anisotropy at all scales
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[1] The surface roughness of a recently exhumed strike-slip fault plane has been measured by three independent 3D portable laser scanners. Digital elevation models of several fault surface areas, from 1 m² to 600 m², have been measured at a resolution ranging from 5 mm to 80 mm. Out of plane height fluctuations are described by non-Gaussian distribution with exponential long range tails. Statistical scaling analyses show that the striated fault surface exhibits self-affine scaling invariance with a small but significant directional morphological anisotropy that can be described by two scaling roughness exponents, \( H_1 = 0.7 \) in the direction of slip and \( H_2 = 0.8 \) perpendicular to the direction of slip. Citation: Renard, F., C. Voisin, D. Marsan, and J. Schmittbuhl (2006), High resolution 3D laser scanner measurements of a strike-slip fault quantify its morphological anisotropy at all scales, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L04305, doi:10.1029/2005GL025038.

1. Introduction

[2] Fault morphology is often oversimplified at small scales in most fault models or earthquake simulations despite its influence on the mechanical behavior of the fault. Indeed asperities on active faults planes concentrate the stress and therefore control earthquake nucleation [Scholz, 2002]. Moreover striations on a fault plane can show substantial rotation during single earthquake because of changes of slip with time during the rupture propagation see Spudich et al. [1998, and references therein]. Unfortunately, fault morphology is rather complex over a large range of scales [Power et al., 1987] which makes the imaging of the fault plane very difficult at depth. Only high resolution resolutions of earthquakes using the multiplet technique have shown earthquake alignments along faults. This pattern was interpreted as resulting from the presence of an organized roughness (asperities) resisting the slip [Schaff et al., 2002].

[3] A quasi-unique access to high resolution description of the fault plane comes from fault scarp observations. Owing to technical limitations, the roughness of several fault planes has only been studied using 1D profilometry. From these pioneer measurements, fault roughness has been shown to be scale invariant with roughness exponent close to 0.8 [Power et al., 1987, 1988; Schmittbuhl et al., 1993; Power and Durham, 1997], a property similar to fresh mode I fracture surfaces [Schmittbuhl et al., 1993]. Surprisingly, the scaling invariance appears to be close to isotropic [Power et al., 1988; Lee and Bruhn, 1996] though it has been shown that normal fault surfaces contain striations developing parallel to the direction of slip and holes elongated in a direction perpendicular to the slip. Such features might be scale dependent.

[4] Among the limitations of previous studies, measurements were performed mainly on faults with small cumulated slip. It is not common to have access to well preserved exhumed fault planes with a large cumulated slip. For instance, normal faults provide large scarps because of the vertical motion of the fault and if the motion is fast enough, scarps might be suited for roughness measurements. The total slip is however limited. Larger accumulated slips can be achieved on strike-slip faults but, as the vertical displacement is small, active fault scarps can seldom be observed. In this study, one such fault plane has been measured. The Vuache fault, near Annecy in the French Alps, is 30 km long and has accumulated a couple of kilometers of left-lateral slip. The fault zone contains several parallel fault planes and the measured plane has therefore accumulated only an undetermined fraction of the total displacement. Some minor right-lateral slips are also witnessed by shear criteria on outcropping fault planes. It is still an active fault: it broke in a \( M_L = 5.3 \) earthquake located at 2 km depth on 07/16/1996, 16 km from the outcrop studied [Thouvenot, 1998]. The second important aspect of this study is that the outcrop of this fault has been sampled not only along 1D profiles but using three 3D laser scanners (also called LIDAR, Light Detection And Ranging) which provide new high resolution statistics of the fault roughness.

2. 3D Scanner Data of the Vuache Fault

[5] The outcrop studied (GPS coordinates N 45°57.242', E 6°02.933') consists of a 60 × 20 m plane cutting Cretaceous limestones of decimeter scale bedding. It was selected because it was exhumed 10 years ago by the activity of a quarry, and was thus almost perfectly preserved from atmospheric alteration or glacial erosion. Striations at all scales on the fault plane, dipping at 15°–30°, indicate a strike-slip motion, with a small normal component. The striations are parallel to the bedding and the largest grooves are larger than the sedimentary beds. We assume here that bedding does not modify the scaling relationships of the roughness described below.

[6] The fault surface was measured using three independent portable 3D laser scanners (Table 1). One device (S10)
scans the surface by triangulation using a red laser and a camera. The other two devices (GS100, LMS Z420i) measure the time of flight of an infra-red reflected laser spot. Six sub-surfaces of the fault were scanned at various resolutions to cover surface scales from $10^{-2}$ m$^2$ to 600 m$^2$ at a resolution from 5 mm to 60 mm, coarser than the precision of the scanner.

[7] The result is a cloud of points whose three-dimensional coordinates correspond to the points on the fault surface with a regular angular spacing (Figure 1a). This 3D set of points is transformed into a 2+1D data set corresponding to a Digital Elevation Model (DEM) over the mean plane of the fault (Figure 1b). From the DEM data sets, 1D profiles can be extracted or the whole 2D surface can be directly analyzed using various statistical tools detailed below.

### 3. 1D Surface Roughness Analysis

[8] A set of parallel cuts is taken through the digitized surface to obtain a series of parallel profiles striking at an angle $\theta$ from the horizontal. Linear detrending is performed independently on all the profiles to yield a set of heights $z_i$ function of the coordinate $x_i$ along the cut. The statistical properties of these 1D profiles are then calculated and averaged over a large number of parallel profiles. The manner in which the scaling varies with $\theta$, and hence with the orientation relative to the direction of slip, was also studied.

#### 3.1. Height Distribution

[9] The distribution of height difference $dz = |z_j - z_i|$, for two intervals of $dx = |x_j - x_i|$ is shown on Figure 2. At small height difference ($dz < 20$ mm) the distribution is approximately Gaussian, which is likely to be due to the noise in the data. The distribution however significantly departs from a Gaussian law, admitting large values much more frequently than a Gaussian model would predict, with a decay for large values of $dz$ that roughly follows an exponential distribution. This feature is seen at all values of $\theta$. The existence of exponentially distributed large height differences covering about 1% of the fault surface area implies that purely Gaussian models (e.g., fractional Brownian motions) of the fault roughness would fail to capture the largest geometrical asperities.

#### 3.2. 1D Analysis: Root-Mean-Square Correlation Functions

[10] The roughness of the fault is studied by looking at how the distributions of height difference vary with spatial wavelength. The aim is to demonstrate that the surface...

### Figure 2.

Distribution of the height difference $dz$ for two intervals (a) $dx = 10–20$ mm and (c) $dx = 100–110$ mm, for $\theta = 30^\circ$ and data shown on Figure 1b. (b and d) Logarithm of the probability of exceedence, roughly showing the exponential decay of the distribution for the last $\sim1\%$ of the largest slopes. Blue dots: data. Red lines: best Gaussian fit for the whole distribution. Blue lines: best Gaussian fit for $dz$ within 3 standard deviations of its mean. Most of the heights $dz$ appear to follow the reduced Gaussian fit (blue), but with clear departure at large absolute values $|dz|$.
Gaussian white noise, with standard deviation of \( \sigma \) acquired with the three different scanners (Table 1). Levelling direction of slip. (c and d) rms of \( \sigma d(x) \) along two directions, parallel and perpendicular to the surface is isotropic (Figure 4a).

\[ \sigma^2(\delta x) = \sigma_0^2(\delta x) + 2\sigma^2_{\text{noise}}. \]

This formula can be used to remove the influence of the noise to obtain the corrected standard deviation \( \sigma_d(\delta x) \). Self-affine profiles are defined over three decades by a single scaling behavior \( \sigma_d(\delta x) \propto \delta x^H \) where \( H \) is the Hurst exponent (Figure 3).

4. 2D Height-Height Difference Analysis

A more thorough 2D analysis of the anisotropy involves computing the best fitting planes \( y = a_1 x + b_1 z + c_1 \) centered on all points \( (x_j, y_j, z_j) \) of the fault, using a least-mean square method with a weight on point \( (x_j, y_j, z_j) \) following an exponential function \( \exp(-r_j/L) \) where \( r_j \) is the distance between the two points and \( L \) is the scale of observation. It is then possible to estimate how the height root-mean-square changes with distance, along any direction \( \theta \), without resorting to 1D profiles. This is achieved by computing the root-mean-square (rms) of the height difference \( (a_1 \cos \theta + b_1 \sin \theta) L \). This has been applied on a striated surface (Figure 5a) where this rms is shown for varying angles and scales (Figure 5b). The changes in roughness with \( \theta \) is clear, with the smoothest fault geometry being obtained at an angle of nearly 20°. This confirms the presence of anisotropy at all scales, down to the resolution of the scanner. There is no characteristic wavelength for the striation.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

According to Figures 3 and 4, the 1D roughness can be described as \( \sigma(\delta x) = \sigma(\delta x^H) \). Both the pre-factor \( \alpha \) and the Hurst exponent \( H \) depend on the angle \( \theta \), with the smallest value of \( H \) in the direction of slip (Figure 4b). The angular variation of \( H \) has also been observed at a smaller...
scale on experimental shear fractures [Amitrano and Schmittbuhl, 2002], where $H$ was equal to 0.74 in the slip direction and 0.8 perpendicular to this direction. This is consistent with observations reported by Power and Durham [1997] and Lee and Bruhn [1996], and confirmed by the 3D data here.

[16] In addition, the two power laws of Figure 4a cross at a specific length scale between 1 cm and 1 mm. Below this length scale, the fault surface is rougher in the direction of slip, and above this length scale, the fault is rougher perpendicular to the direction of slip. However, there is no direct link between this length scale and the characteristic weakening distance observed or estimated for the nucleation process.

[17] Although the direction of slip clearly appears on the 3D data, the statistical tools described here are not sufficient to determine whether the slip was left-lateral or right-lateral. This opens up a future challenge to develop statistical tools that can target the asymmetry of the slip and, therefore, the geometry of the asperities resisting the slip.
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