# **Dynamic Earthquake Ruptures in the Presence of Material Discontinuities**

Gilbert B. Brietzke

Seminar EOST Strasbourg 26.01.2010

#### **Dynamic Earthquake Ruptures in the Presence of Material Discontinuities**

Motivation

• Material contrast at small scale: Punchbowl Fault example



#### **Dynamic Earthquake Ruptures in the Presence of Material Discontinuities**

Motivation

• Material contrast at the San Andreas fault at Parkfield



#### **Dynamic Earthquake Ruptures in the Presence of Material Discontinuities**

### Outline

- Migration of fault rupture onto material interfaces
- Bimaterial rupture in 3D
- Importance of material contrasts for source dynamics and ground motion

## **Earthquake Ruptures as Frictional Instability on a Plane**

### Governing equations

• Equations of motion:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}$$
  
 $\partial_t \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{c} \, \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ 

Boundary conditions on fault-plane:

$$\tau^{s} - |\boldsymbol{\tau}| \ge 0$$
  
$$\tau^{s} \boldsymbol{V} - \boldsymbol{\tau} |\boldsymbol{V}| = 0$$
  
$$\tau^{s} = \sigma_{n} f$$

v = velocity  $\tau = traction$  $\sigma = \text{stress tensor}$ c = elastic tensor $\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} =$  strainrate tensor  $\rho = \text{density}$  $\tau^{s}$  = shear strength f = friction coefficient  $\sigma_n = \text{normal stress}$ V =slip velocity

## **Frictional Instability on a Plane and Bimaterial Rupture**

### Introduction

$$\tau^{s}(D) = f \cdot \sigma_{n}$$
  
 $\tau^{s} = \text{shear strength}$   $f = \text{friction coefficient}$   
 $D = \text{slip}$   $\sigma_{n} = \text{normal stress}$ 

 Weertman (1980) predicts unstable slip due to normal stress perturbation on bimaterial interfaces with unilateral pulse propagation of rupture:

=> preferred direction of rupture on a bimaterial fault

 Andrews & Ben-Zion (1997) numerically simulate a wrinkle-like rupture pulse in 2D in-plane rupture simulations which they identify as a Weertman-pulse



• How does such a wrinkle-like rupture pulse look like?

... like a moving wrinkle in a carpet

## **Dynamic Ruptures along a Bimaterial Interface**

### Simple bimateral setup

- 2D in-plane-model:
  - Discretization by finitedifferences
  - Single frictional interface
  - Two different elastic materials
  - Constant friction coefficient

 $\tau^{s} = f \cdot \sigma_{n} \quad f = \text{constant}$ 

 Space-time function porepressure for nucleation



wrinkle-pulse animation

## **Dynamic Ruptures Along a Bimaterial Interface**

Unstable modes and ill-posedness

Cochard & Rice (2000) and Ranjith and Rice (2001):

2 key outcomes:

Clarified bimaterial conditions for dynamic instability:

> the generalized Rayleigh velocity must be defined

- $\rightarrow$  for realistic cases of elastic contrasts of up to 30%
- Demonstrated the numerical challenge of the problem due to the Adams (1995) instability which may lead to grid dependent results => Prakash & Clifton (1993, 1998) friction provides regularization of the otherwise ill-posed problem

$$\dot{\tau}_{s} = \frac{-|V| + V^{*}}{L} [\tau_{s} - f \max(0, -\sigma_{n})]$$

## **Dynamic Ruptures Along a Bimaterial Interface**

#### Fault-zone typical material setup

- Several studies focused on the properties and behavior of 2D-inplane wrinkle-like rupture on a single interface:
  - Rupture propagation velocity:  $v_r = v_{gr}$
  - Amplification: self-sustaining behavior
  - Nucleation behavior: hard to nucleate
- To motivate the following we pose two questions:
  - Can fault rupture migrate spontaneously onto the material contrast and nucleate wrinkle-like slip-pulses for hypocenters located off the material contrast?
  - Under which conditions is the migration of fault ruptures inhibited?

### Fault-zone typical material setup

### 2D-in-plane-model:

- Discretization of equations by finite-differences
- Multiple frictional interface
- Three different elastic materials
- Constant friction coefficient

 $\tau^{s} = f \cdot \sigma_{n}$  f = constant

 Nucleation on all individual faults in separate simulations



Explore parameter space:

fault-separation, velocity contrast, initial shear stress, nucleation location

Migration of fault ruptures on parallel faults

#### Setup:

- 9 parallel faults, nucleation on the central fault
- 3 materials

#### Result:

- Rupture on central fault dies out
- Wrinkle-like rupture pulse ignited on fault with large material contrast



fault parallel velocity component in m/s

### Effect of multiple parallel faults

#### 9 faults:

- Rupture on nucleating fault dies out
- Sustaining ruptures pulses ignited on both bimaterial faults

#### 17 faults:

- Rupture on nucleating fault dies out
- Wrinkle-like rupture pulse ignited on fault with larger material contrast only

#### 33 faults:

 No sustaining ruptures ignited on any fault



- 1. Multiple surfaces lead to a macroscopic plastic deformation effect
- 2. Model needs a limited number of weak planes to allow rupture localization

### Examining tendencies of rupture migration

- 9 simulations with nine different nucleation locations
- Rupture migration appears to be stable feature
- Rupture even across low-velocity layer
- Macroscopic bilateral ruptures



#### Examining tendencies of rupture migration



Examining tendencies of rupture migration

#### Conclusions:

- Rupture migration stable feature
- Apparent bilateral rupture due to low-velocity layer (for not too thin low-velocity layers)
- Eventual rupture plane not necessarily with larger contrast
  - Iow resolution perspective: against preference overall contrast
- Many parallel faults act as macroscopically "effective volume deformation" (larger dissipation of elastic strain energy)
- Normally larger stress => easier rupture propagation

but! when low-velocity layer is thin and fault separation is small this might fail

Examining tendencies of rupture migration

Future study:

The model presented is limited to in-plain strain, slip-independent friction to focus on effects associated with structure and dynamic normal stress changes

 Generality of the results should be tested by incorporating additional levels of realism (e.g, dimensionality, rheology, geometrical complexity)

#### From 2D in-plane to 3D

Bimaterial mechanism allowing for instable slip under classically stable conditions exists for the 2D in-plane case only

There is no such effect active for the 2D anti-plane case



### Question:

 Can a wrinkle-like pulse be nucleated in the general 3D case? (where there is a mixing of modes)

## 3D model

#### 3D Model Setup:

- Finite-difference approx.
- 2 materials (20% contrast of elastic constants)
- Unbounded planar fault
- Regularized Coulomb friction (Prakash-Clifton friction)
- Circular-symmetric nucleation
- Homogeneous initial stress condition

Convergence of numerical results in 3D is challenging: => parallel implementation of the algorithms is required





90

80

70

(Brietzke et. al. 2007)

0.02

#### Convergence tests

- Convergence of numerical results for unstable problem is challenging, especially in the 3D case!
- We do a convergence test of our numerical model

**Result:** The finite-difference ~ implementation converges with grid refinement!



0.04

0.06

time [s]

0.08

### Sustaining pulse in 3D

- The wrinkle-like rupture pulse specific to bimaterial 2D in-plane rupture can be nucleated also in the general 3D case.
  - The possible existence of such pulses has to be taken into account when interpreting observations and simulations of EQR
- It propagates with in a horn-shaped region around the favored in-plane axis.
- A sharpening and amplifying behavior exists



### Amplifying pulse in 3D

- The results show that after a certain propagation distance the rupture tends to promote tensile normal stress.
  - It has been shown that additional ingredients of realism (e.g. off-fault plastic deformation) would damp the tensile behavior when amplitudes become too large (Shi & Ben-Zion, 2006)
- The effects of opening and/ or off-fault damage should be tested in future 3D studies of the wrinkle-like pulse.



- Is the bimaterial mechanism important in realistic earthquakes
- The question 'whether or not bimaterial effects in natural earthquakes are important' invoked considerable controversy recently

- Until recently many studies dealt with the properties of the wrinklelike pulse (without other sources of frictional instability) (e.g., Weertman 1980, Andrews & Ben-Zion 1997/1998, Cochard & Rice 2000, Ben-Zion & Huang 2002, Ben-Zion & Shi 2005, Brietzke & Ben-Zion 2006, Brietzke et al. 2007).
- 2. Until recently only few dealt with the combination of weakening effects (e.g., Harris & Day 1997, Andrews & Harris 2005, Harris & Day 2005)
- Since earthquakes are known to happen also in cases of essentially homogeneous material conditions the question is of course valid!

- Is the bimaterial mechanism important in realistic earthquakes
- Andrews and Harris (2005): bold statement:

"The wrinkle-like slip pulse is not important in earthquake dynamics" Unfortunately the study is weak in two aspects:

- Present results of two 3D and one 2D simulations only
- 3D simulations too coarse to resolve wrinkle-pulse
- Andrews and Harris (2005) propose that the wrinkle-like pulse might have effects on the radiated ground motion

We extend the parameter range in a model similar to the one by AH05

### 3D Model with slip-weakening and heterogeneous stress

- Initial stress with random phase and 1/k Amplitude
- Free surface
- Regularized slip-weakening friction
- Each parameter case simulated twice with reversed orientation



& Harris (2005)

$$\dot{\tau}_{s} = \frac{-|V| + V^{*}}{L} [\tau_{s} - f_{sw} \max(0, -\sigma_{n})]$$
$$f_{sw} = \begin{cases} f_{s} - D/D_{c}(f_{s} - f_{d}) & \text{for } D < D_{c} \\ f_{d} & \text{for } D \ge D_{c} \end{cases}$$

### Example 1: slip-similar



- Initial stress same
- Slip similar
- Slip-history considerably different



How about ground motion?



### Example 1: slip-similar



- Initial stress same
- Slip similar!

- Ground motion considerable different!
- Slip-history considerable different

#### visual inspection

#### Example 2: slip very different







(Brietzke et. al. 2009)

Relative PGV Difference  $\delta$ PGV

20

slow

10

[%]

40

30

150

100 50

Fault Normal Distance [km]

10

10

#### Example 2: slip very different

- Seismograms reveal the huge difference in ground motion
- The amplitude spectra exhibit expected frequency decay



Example 3: wrinkle-pulse nucleates from initially crack-like rupture



- Initial stress same
- Slip not similar
- Wrinkle-like rupture mode shows up!

Rupture Propagation Velocity  $v_r^{\Lambda_L}$  (from peak arrivals)

3% intershear

25

Distance Along Strike [km]

20

1% supershear

35

40

45

30





5

10

15

5

 $5\% [v_{\rm gr} - 6\%, v_{\rm gr}]$ 

10

15

#### Example 4: supershear promotion/prevention



- Supershear promoted in unfavored direction
- Supershear prevention for unfavored direction
- Peak slip velocity slower behind rupture front



- Examining results of about 300 simulation pairs
- Strength excess:

$$S = \frac{\tau_{\rm s} - \tau_{\rm 0}^{\rm av}}{\tau_{\rm 0}^{\rm av} - \tau_{\rm d}}$$

 Dissimilarity of peak slip velocity:



 Max. relative difference in peak ground velocity:

$$\delta PGV_{\max} = \max(\delta PGV_j)$$

$$\delta PGV_{j} = \frac{|PGV_{j}^{\lambda_{R}} - PGV_{j}^{\lambda_{L}}|}{\frac{1}{2}(PGV_{j}^{\lambda_{R}} + PGV_{j}^{\lambda_{L}})}$$



### Examining results of about 300 simulation pairs

#### **Summary of Results:**

- Bimaterial induced diversification:
- → Large zoo of ruptures:
  - Classical cracks
  - Wrinkle-like pulses
  - Supershear events
  - Secondary events after slow barriers
- Ground Motion:
- Small to huge differences possible!
- Often large directivity

### Conclusion

- Note: Second Sec
- <sup>r</sup>. results contradict the conclusion of previous studies:
  - for ranges of parameters wrinkle-mode is attractive propagation mode
  - wrinkle-like pulses can strongly influence earthquake source dynamics -> effect on ground motion may be very large.
- $\gamma$ . wrinkle-pulse not needed to alter slip history.
  - bimaterial mechanism in heterogeneous setup can affect rupture dynamics for a wide range of propagation velocity

#### Future Work

Nobustness test by including additional physical ingredients, e.g.:

- off-fault deformation
- poro-elasticity
- fluid pressurization
- melting and gel-formation
- <sup>r</sup>. With increased complexity parameter space becomes large
  - larger number of simulations
  - Iarge synthetic data sets explored by statistical methods
- <sup>v</sup>. Need for new theoretical concepts and new experiments to reduce the uncertainties in parameters.

#### Acknowledgment

Thanks for your attention!!!